



International Journal of Physical and Social Sciences

(ISSN: 2249-1058)

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
<u>1</u>	Terrorism - Social Causes, Economic Impact in India and Possible Control Measures with Special Reference to Technology-driven Avenues. B. L. Shivakumar	<u>1-27</u>
<u>2</u>	An Analysis On Consumer's Attitude Towards Apparel Private Label Brands – A Study In Chennai Region. R. SATHYA and Dr. S. SHEELA RANI	<u>28-52</u>
<u>3</u>	I Want To Be A Leader. Dr. Supriya Jha	<u>53-71</u>
<u>4</u>	Conceptualizing Student's Perception On Academic And Personality Dimensions Using Gap Analysis. Dr. Vijaya Mani, Geeta Santhosh and K Subhash babu	<u>72-92</u>
<u>5</u>	Impact of Global Financial Crisis on Indian Banking Sectors: Strategies for achieving sustainable growth. Mr. Rabindra Ku Mohanty, Mr. Duryodhan Jena and Dr. Subhasmita Biswal	<u>93-107</u>
<u>6</u>	WTO: Revise Agreement on Trade Related Investment Measures. Dr. M. Sugunatha Reddy and Dr. B. Rama Bhupal Reddy	<u>108-117</u>
<u>7</u>	Office- Politics, a Game of Strategy through Communication Skills. By Dr. S. K. Singh	<u>118-135</u>
<u>8</u>	A Fixed Point Result By Using Altering Distance Function. Krishnapada Das, Indranil Bhaumik and Binayak S. Choudhury	<u>136-153</u>
<u>9</u>	India's E-Governance: Present Scenario and Future Planning. Sanjay Jangra	<u>154-166</u>
<u>10</u>	Power Quality: Problems, Protection And Solutions. S. Gupta and Neha Bajpai	<u>167-183</u>

Chief Patron

Dr. JOSE G. VARGAS-HERNANDEZ

Member of the National System of Researchers, Mexico
Research professor at University Center of Economic and Managerial Sciences,
University of Guadalajara
Director of Mass Media at Ayuntamiento de Cd. Guzman
Ex. director of Centro de Capacitacion y Adiestramiento

Patron

Dr. Mohammad Reza Noruzi

PhD: Public Administration, Public Sector Policy Making Management,
Tarbiat Modarres University, Tehran, Iran
Faculty of Economics and Management, Tarbiat Modarres University, Tehran, Iran
Young Researchers' Club Member, Islamic Azad University, Bonab, Iran

Chief Advisors

Dr. NAGENDRA. S.

Senior Asst. Professor,
Department of MBA, Mangalore Institute of Technology and Engineering, Moodabidri

Dr. SUNIL KUMAR MISHRA

Associate Professor,
Dronacharya College of Engineering, Gurgaon, INDIA

Mr. GARRY TAN WEI HAN

Lecturer and Chairperson (Centre for Business and Management),
Department of Marketing, University Tunku Abdul Rahman, MALAYSIA

Editorial Board

Dr. CRAIG E. REESE

Professor, School of Business, St. Thomas University, Miami Gardens

Dr. S. N. TAKALIKAR

Principal, St. Johns Institute of Engineering, PALGHAR (M.S.)

Dr. RAMPRATAP SINGH

Professor, Bangalore Institute of International Management, KARNATAKA

Dr. P. MALYADRI

Principal, Government Degree College, Osmania University, TANDUR

Dr. Y. LOKESWARA CHOUDARY

Asst. Professor Cum, SRM B-School, SRM University, CHENNAI

Prof. Dr. TEKI SURAYYA

Professor, Adikavi Nannaya University, ANDHRA PRADESH, INDIA

Dr. T. DULABABU

Principal, The Oxford College of Business Management, BANGALORE

Dr. A. ARUL LAWRENCE SELVAKUMAR

Professor, Adhiparasakthi Engineering College, MELMARAVATHUR, TN

Dr. S. D. SURYAWANSHI

Lecturer, College of Engineering Pune, SHIVAJINAGAR

Dr. S. KALIYAMOORTHY

Professor & Director, Alagappa Institute of Management, KARAIKUDI

Prof S. R. BADRINARAYAN

Sinhgad Institute for Management & Computer Applications, PUNE

Mr. GURSEL ILIPINAR

ESADE Business School, Department of Marketing, SPAIN

Mr. ZEESHAN AHMED

Software Research Eng, Department of Bioinformatics, GERMANY

Mr. SANJAY ASATI

Dept of ME, M. Patel Institute of Engg. & Tech., GONDIA(M.S.)

Mr. G. Y. KUDALE

N.M.D. College of Management and Research, GONDIA(M.S.)

Editorial Advisory Board

Dr. MANJIT DAS

Assistant Professor, Deptt. of Economics, M.C.College, ASSAM

Dr. ROLI PRADHAN

Maulana Azad National Institute of Technology, BHOPAL

Dr. N. KAVITHA

Assistant Professor, Department of Management, Mekelle University, ETHIOPIA

Prof C. M. MARAN

Assistant Professor (Senior), VIT Business School, TAMIL NADU

Dr. RAJIV KHOSLA

Associate Professor and Head, Chandigarh Business School, MOHALI

Dr. S. K. SINGH

Asst. Professor, R. D. Foundation Group of Institutions, MODINAGAR

Dr. (Mrs.) MANISHA N. PALIWAL

Associate Professor, Sinhgad Institute of Management, PUNE

Dr. (Mrs.) ARCHANA ARJUN GHATULE

Director, SPSPM, SKN Sinhgad Business School, MAHARASHTRA

Dr. NEELAM RANI DHANDA

Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, kuk, HARYANA

Dr. FARAH NAAZ GAURI

Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, AURANGABAD

Prof. Dr. BADAR ALAM IQBAL

Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, UP

Associate Editors

Dr. SANJAY J. BHAYANI

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, RAJKOT (INDIA)

MOID UDDIN AHMAD

Assistant Professor, Jaipuria Institute of Management, NOIDA

Dr. SUNEEL ARORA

Assistant Professor, G D Goenka World Institute, Lancaster University, NEW DELHI

Mr. P. PRABHU

Assistant Professor, Alagappa University, KARAIKUDI

Mr. MANISH KUMAR

Assistant Professor, DBIT, Deptt. Of MBA, DEHRADUN

Mrs. BABITA VERMA

Assistant Professor, Bhilai Institute Of Technology, DURG

Ms. MONIKA BHATNAGAR

Assistant Professor, Technocrat Institute of Technology, BHOPAL

Ms. SUPRIYA RAHEJA

Assistant Professor, CSE Department of ITM University, GURGAON

Title

**A FIXED POINT RESULT BY USING ALTERING
DISTANCE FUNCTION**

Author(s)

Krishnapada Das

Department of Mathematics,
Bengal Engineering and
Science University, Shibpur
Howrah - 71103, West
Bengal, India

Indranil Bhaumik

Department of Mathematics,
Bengal Engineering and
Science University, Shibpur
Howrah - 71103, West
Bengal, India

Binayak S. Choudhury

Department of Mathematics,
Bengal Engineering and
Science University, Shibpur
Howrah - 71103, West
Bengal, India

Abstract:

In this paper we have proved a fixed point theorem in a complete metric space with the help of an altering distance function. The main feature of our theorem is that, it contains square root in the inequality. We have also deduced some consequences of our theorem and supported our result with examples.

Keywords: Contraction, Cauchy sequence, Fixed point, Altering distance function, Kannan type mapping.

AMS Subject Classification 2010: 47H10, 54H25.

Introduction and Mathematical Preliminaries:

In this section we have given some existing definitions, results and notations which are essential for our discussion in the next sections.

Throughout this paper we have used the following notations.

We denote the set of real numbers by R and R^+ is the set of positive real numbers. If A and B are two non-empty sets, we define $A \setminus B = \{x : x \in A \text{ but } x \notin B\}$.

In 1922, Banach [3] proved a fixed point result involving some contraction. This contraction principle is one of the most important results in modern mathematics. After this a lot of fixed point results appeared in the literature. In 1984, Khan, Swaleh and Sessa [14] proved a new type of contraction mapping principle. They proved their result with the help of a control function, which they called altering distance function. Afterwards a number of works appeared in which altering distance function was used. We give below the definition of altering distance function:

Definition 1.1 *Altering distance function [14]*

A function $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an altering distance function if

- (i) h is monotonic increasing and continuous and
- (ii) $h(t) = 0$, if only if $t = 0$.

Khan et al. proved the following generalization of Banach contraction mapping principle.

Theorem 1.1 [14] *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, h be an altering distance function and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a self mapping which satisfies the following inequality*

$$h(d(fx, fy)) \leq ch(d(x, y)),$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and for some $0 < c < 1$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

In fact Khan et al. proved a more general theorem (Theorem 2 in [14]) of which the above result is a corollary.

References [1, 2, 18, 20, 21] and [22] are some of the examples of fixed point results in which single valued altering distance functions have been used. In [4], altering distance functions have been generalized to a two variable function and in [5] a three variable generalization have been introduced and applied for obtaining fixed point results.

Kannan [12, 13] introduced another type of contraction mappings.

Definition 1.2 [12,13] *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and f be a mapping on X . The*

mapping f is called a Kannan type mapping if there exists $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ such that

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \alpha[d(x, fx) + d(y, fy)], \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Kannan type mappings are considered to be important in metric fixed point theory for several reasons. We mention two mathematical reasons in the following.

A mapping satisfying Banach contraction is continuous. A natural question is whether there exists a class of mappings satisfying some contractive inequality which necessarily have fixed points in complete metric spaces but need not necessarily be continuous. Kannan type mappings are such mappings to be first discovered [12, 13]. Another reason is its connection with metric completeness. A Banach contraction mapping may have a fixed point in metric space which is not complete. In fact, Connell, in [9], has given an example of a metric space which is not

complete but every Banach contraction defined on this metric space has a fixed point. It has been established in [23], that the metric completeness is implied by the essential existence of fixed points of the class of Kannan type mappings.

There are a large number of works dealing with Kannan type mappings. Several examples of these works are noted in [15, 16] and [19].

B.S. Choudhury and K.P. Das introduced a probabilistic generalization of altering distance function in [6]. After this a lot of fixed point and coincidence point results appeared in probabilistic spaces. Some of the references are noted in [7, 8, 10, 11] and [17].

The purpose of this paper is to prove a fixed point result in complete metric spaces involving altering distance function. Our result is a generalization of the Banach contraction mapping principle and fixed point results due to Kannan. We have deduced some consequences from our theorem and our result is also supported by examples.

The Main Theorem:

Theorem 2.1 Let a, b, c, d be four decreasing functions from $R^+ \setminus \{0\}$ into $[0, 1)$ satisfy the property $a(t) + b(t) + c(t) + 4d(t) < 1$, for all $t > 0$. Also let (X, ρ) be a complete metric space, ψ be an altering distance function and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a self mapping which satisfies the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\rho(fx, fy)) \leq & a(\rho(x, y))\psi(\rho(x, y)) + b(\rho(x, y))\psi(\rho(x, fx)) + c(\rho(x, y))\psi(\rho(y, fy)) \\ & + d(\rho(x, y))\sqrt{\psi(\rho(x, fy))} \cdot \sqrt{\psi(\rho(y, fx))} \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\text{whenever } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z, \text{ then } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = fz, \quad (2.2)$$

where $x, y \in X$ and $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence of points from X . Then f has a unique fixed point.

Proof: Let x_0 be a point of X . We define $x_{n+1} = fx_n$, $\tau_n = \rho(x_n, x_{n+1})$, for all integers $n \geq 0$.

We first prove that f has a fixed point. We may take $\tau_n > 0$, for all n , because if $\tau_n = 0$, there is a fixed point of f .

Substituting $x = x_n$ and $y = x_{n+1}$ in (2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\tau_{n+1}) \leq & a(\tau_n)\psi(\tau_n) + b(\tau_n)\psi(\tau_n) + c(\tau_n)\psi(\tau_{n+1}) \\ & + d(\tau_n)\sqrt{\psi(\rho(x_n, x_{n+1}))}\sqrt{\psi(\rho(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}))}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Hence we get

$$\psi(\tau_{n+1}) \leq \frac{a(\tau_n) + b(\tau_n)}{1 - c(\tau_n)} \psi(\tau_n) < \psi(\tau_n), \quad (2.4)$$

because $a(t) + b(t) + c(t) + 4d(t) < 1$, implies $\frac{a(t) + b(t)}{1 - c(t)} < 1$, for all $t > 0$.

Since ψ is an increasing function we get from (2.4), $\{\tau_n\}$ is a decreasing sequence which is bounded below.

$$\text{Let } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n = \tau. \quad (2.5)$$

We claim that $\tau = 0$. If possible, let $\tau > 0$. Then by (2.4) we get $\tau_n \geq \tau$, which implies that

$$\psi(\tau_{n+1}) \leq \frac{a(\tau) + b(\tau)}{1 - c(\tau)} \psi(\tau_n). \quad (2.6)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.6), since ψ is continuous, we obtain

$$\psi(\tau) \leq \frac{a(\tau) + b(\tau)}{1 - c(\tau)} \psi(\tau) < \psi(\tau), \quad (2.7)$$

which is impossible. Hence $\tau = 0$.

We now prove that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose it is not. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ for which we can find subsequences $\{x_{m(k)}\}$ and $\{x_{n(k)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ with $n(k) > m(k) \geq n$ such that

$$\rho(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \geq \varepsilon. \quad (2.8)$$

Further, corresponding to $m(k)$, we can choose $n(k)$ in such a way that it is the smallest integer with $n(k) > m(k)$ and satisfies (2.8). Then

$$\rho(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) < \varepsilon. \quad (2.9)$$

Let $s_n = \rho(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)})$, for all $n \geq 0$. Then we get

$$\varepsilon \leq s_n \leq \rho(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) + \rho(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) < \tau_{n(k)-1} + \varepsilon. \quad (2.10)$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.10) we get,

$$\text{since } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n = 0 \text{ then } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \varepsilon. \quad (2.11)$$

Note that $k \rightarrow \infty$ implies $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Also from triangle inequality we get, for all $n \geq 0$,

$$-\tau_{n(k)} - \tau_{m(k)} + s_n \leq \rho(x_{n(k)+1}, x_{m(k)+1}) \leq \tau_{n(k)} + \tau_{m(k)} + s_n. \quad (2.12)$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.12) we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x_{n(k)+1}, x_{m(k)+1}) = \varepsilon. \quad (2.13)$$

Substituting $x = x_{n(k)}$ and $y = x_{m(k)}$ in (2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\rho(x_{n(k)+1}, x_{m(k)+1})) &\leq a(s_n)\psi(s_n) + b(s_n)\psi(\tau_{n(k)}) + c(s_n)\psi(\tau_{m(k)}) \\ &\quad + d(s_n) \cdot \sqrt{\psi(\rho(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)+1}))} \cdot \sqrt{\psi(\rho(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}))}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Again applying triangle inequality in (2.14) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\rho(x_{n(k)+1}, x_{m(k)+1})) &\leq a(s_n)\psi(s_n) + b(s_n)\psi(\tau_{n(k)}) + c(s_n)\psi(\tau_{m(k)}) \\ &\quad + d(s_n) \cdot \sqrt{\psi(s_n + \tau_{m(k)})} \cdot \sqrt{\psi(s_n + \tau_{n(k)})}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.15) we get

$$\psi(\varepsilon) \leq \{a(\varepsilon) + d(\varepsilon)\} \psi(\varepsilon) < \psi(\varepsilon), \quad (2.16)$$

which is absurd. Therefore $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and hence it is convergent in a complete metric space X .

$$\text{Let } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z. \quad (2.17)$$

We now show that z is a fixed point of f . Since each $\tau_n > 0$, there is a subsequence $\{x_{h(n)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{h(n)} \neq z$, for each $n \geq 0$. Let $\alpha_n = \rho(z, x_n)$, for all $n \geq 0$.

Substituting $x = x_{h(n)}$ and $y = z$ in (2.1) we get

$$\psi(\rho(x_{h(n)+1}, fz)) \leq a(\alpha_{h(n)})\psi(\alpha_{h(n)}) + b(\alpha_{h(n)})\psi(\tau_{h(n)}) + c(\alpha_{h(n)})\psi(\rho(z, fz))$$

$$+ d(\alpha_{h(n)}) \cdot \sqrt{\psi(\alpha_{h(n)+1})} \cdot \sqrt{\psi(\rho(x_{h(n)}, fz))}. \quad (2.18)$$

Note that a, b, c are all less than 1 and d is less than $\frac{1}{4}$.

Hence by applying triangle inequality again in (2.18) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\rho(x_{h(n)+1}, fz)) &< \psi(\alpha_{h(n)}) + \psi(\tau_{h(n)}) + \psi(\rho(z, fz)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\psi(\rho(z, fz) + \rho(fz, fx_{h(n)}))} \cdot \sqrt{\psi(\alpha_{h(n)} + \rho(z, fz))}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.19) and using (2.2) we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\rho(x_{h(n)+1}, fz)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \psi(\rho(z, fz)). \quad (2.20)$$

On the other hand, the triangle inequality implies that

$$\rho(z, fz) \leq \alpha_{h(n)} + \tau_{h(n)} + \rho(x_{h(n)+1}, fz). \quad (2.21)$$

Since ψ is increasing, from (2.21) we obtain by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\psi(\rho(z, fz)) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\rho(x_{h(n)+1}, fz)). \quad (2.22)$$

From (2.20) and (2.22) we get $\psi(\rho(z, fz)) = 0$, which implies $\rho(z, fz) = 0$. So z is a fixed point of f .

To prove the uniqueness of the fixed point, let us suppose that z_1 and z_2 be two fixed points of f . Then from (2.1) we get

$$\psi(\rho(z_1, z_2)) = \psi(\rho(fz_1, fz_2)) \leq \{a(\rho(z_1, z_2)) + d((\rho(z_1, z_2)))\} \psi((\rho(z_1, z_2)) < \psi((\rho(z_1, z_2))),$$

a contradiction. Hence we must have $z_1 = z_2$.

This completes the proof.

Some Consequences and Examples:

If we assume $d = 0$ and $b = c$ in (2.1) we get the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1 Let a, b be two decreasing functions from $R^+ \setminus \{0\}$ into $[0,1)$ which satisfy the property $a(t) + 2b(t) < 1$, for all $t > 0$. Also let (X, ρ) be a complete metric space, ψ be an altering distance function and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous self mapping which satisfies the following property:

$$\psi(\rho(fx, fy)) \leq a(\rho(x, y))\psi(\rho(x, y)) + b(\rho(x, y)) \cdot \{\psi(\rho(x, fx)) + \psi(\rho(y, fy))\},$$

where $x, y \in X$ and $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence of points from X . Then f has a unique fixed point.

If we put $b = c$ in (2.1), we get the following theorem:

Theorem 3.2 Let a, b, c be three decreasing functions from $R^+ \setminus \{0\}$ into $[0,1)$ which satisfy the property $a(t) + 2b(t) + 4c(t) < 1$, for all $t > 0$. Also let (X, ρ) be a complete metric space, ψ be an altering distance function and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous self mapping which satisfies the following property:

$$\psi(\rho(fx, fy)) \leq a(\rho(x, y))\psi(\rho(x, y)) + b(\rho(x, y)) \cdot \{\psi(\rho(x, fx)) + \psi(\rho(y, fy))\}$$

$$+ c(\rho(x, y)) \cdot \sqrt{\psi(\rho(x, fy))} \cdot \sqrt{\psi(\rho(y, fx))},$$

where $x, y \in X$ and $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence of points from X . Then f has a unique fixed point.

We have proved below that Theorem 2.1 is an improvement of the Banach Contraction Principle.

Theorem 3.3 (Banach Contraction Principle) Let (X, ρ) be a complete metric space and

$T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that

$$\rho(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha \rho(x, y), \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point in X .

Proof: We know that if a mapping T satisfies the condition (3.1) in Theorem 3.3, it is a continuous mapping. Hence condition (2.2) of Theorem 2.1 is obviously satisfied by these types of maps. Let $\psi(x) = x$, for all $x \in [0, \infty)$. We now put $b = c = d = 0$ and $a = \alpha$ in Theorem 2.1 and we get the Banach Contraction Principle.

Putting $a = 0$, $b = c = \alpha$ and $d = 0$ in Theorem 2.1 we get result due to Kannan [12, 13]. Note that at this time we also set $\psi(x) = x$, for all $x \in [0, \infty)$.

Here we have given two examples, one in discrete case and the other in continuous case, which support our main theorem, that is, Theorem 2.1.

Example 3.1 Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and ρ be defined by $\rho(2, 1) = \rho(1, 2) = \frac{1}{2}$, $\rho(3, 2) = \rho(2, 3) = 1$,

$\rho(1, 3) = \rho(3, 1) = 1$ and $\rho(1, 1) = \rho(2, 2) = \rho(3, 3) = 0$. Then (X, ρ) is a complete metric space.

We now take $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a function, defined by $f1 = 2$, $f2 = 2$ and $f3 = 1$. Also let ψ be

an altering distance function defined by $\psi(x) = x$, for all $x \in [0, \infty)$. Then the function f satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 and we see that it has a unique fixed point 2 in X .

Example 3.2 Let $X = [0, 1]$ and $\rho(x, y) = |x - y|$, for all $x, y \in X$. Then (X, ρ) is a complete metric space. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be defined by $f(x) = \frac{x}{2}$, for all $x, y \in X$, then obviously f is a continuous function. Also let ψ be an altering distance function defined by $\psi(x) = x$, for all $x \in [0, \infty)$. Then the function f satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 and we see that it has a unique fixed point 0 in X .

Acknowledgements:

Indranil Bhaumik acknowledges his father Mr. Sadhan Chandra Bhaumik for his help in preparing the manuscript.

References:

- G.V.R. Babu and S. Ismaili, A fixed point theorem by altering distances, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 93(5), 2001, 393-398.
- G.V.R. Babu and M.V.R. Kameswari, Some common fixed point theorems by altering distances, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc., 6, 2003, 107-117.
- S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux quations intgraes, Fund. Math., 3, 1922, 133-181.
- B.S. Choudhury and P.N. Dutta, A unified fixed point result in metric spaces involving a two variable function, Filomat, 14, 2000, 43-48.
- B.S. Choudhury, A common unique fixed point result in metric spaces involving generalized altering distances, Math. Com., 10, 2005, 105-100.

- B.S. Choudhury and K.P. Das, A new contraction principle in Menger spaces, *Acta Math. Sin., English Series*, 24(8), 2008, 1379-1386 .
- B.S. Choudhury, P.N. Dutta and K.P. Das, A fixed point result in Menger spaces using a real function, *Acta Math. Hung.*, 122, 2008, 203-216.
- B.S. Choudhury and K.P. Das, A coincidence point result in Menger spaces using a control function, *Chao. Soli. Frac.*, 42, 2009, 3058-3063.
- E.H. Connell, Properties of fixed point spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 10, 1959, 974-979.
- P.N. Dutta and B.S. Choudhury, A generalization of contraction principle in metric spaces, *Fix. Point Theo. Appl.*, 2008, 1-8.
- P.N. Dutta, B.S. Choudhury and K.P. Das, Some fixed point results in Menger spaces using a control function, *Surv. Math. Appl.*, 4, 2009, 41-52.
- R. Kannan, Some results on fixed point, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, 60, 1968, 71-76.
- R. Kannan, Some results on fixed point II, *Amer. Math. Mon.*, 76, 1969, 405-408.
- M.S. Khan, M. Swales and S. Sessa, Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 30, 1984, 1-9.
- M. Kikkawa and T. Suzuki, Some similarity between contractions and Kannan mappings, *Fix. Point Theo. Appl.*, 2008, 2008, Article ID 649749.
- M. Kikkawa and T. Suzuki, Some similarity between contractions and Kannan mappings II, *Bull. Kyushu Inst. Tech. Pure Appl. Math.*, 55, 2008, 1-13.
- D. Mihet, Altering distances in probabilistic Menger spaces, *Nonlin. Anal.*, 71, 2009, 2734-2738.
- S.V.R. Naidu, Some fixed point theorems in metric spaces by altering distances, *Czech. Math. J.*, 53, 2003, 205-212.
- P.K. Saha and R. Tiwari, An alternative proof of Kannan's fixed point theorem in generalized metric space, *News Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, 31, 2008, 15-18.

- K.P.R. Sastry and G.V.R. Babu, Fixed point theorems in metric spaces by altering distances, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 90, 1998, 175-182.
- K.P.R. Sastry and G.V.R. Babu, Some fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points, Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math., 30(6), 1999, 641-647.
- K.P.R. Sastry, S.V.R. Naidu, G.V.R. Babu and G.A. Naidu, Generalisation of common fixed point theorems for weakly commuting maps by altering distances, Tamk. J. Math., 31(3), 2000, 243-250.
- P.V. Subrahmanyam, Completeness and fixed points, Monatsh. Math., 80, 1975, 325-330.

